Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/586,557	DAHL ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	TIEN MAI	2836
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>TIEN MAI</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>T. David Bomzer</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 29 July 2010	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: Final rejection		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed: Amason et al. (US 4,796,153)		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Applicant agrees to amend the claim to overcome the cited art since Amason does not disclose plurality of conductive isolated segments being embedded in the non-conductive material layer so that a common top surface of the diverter includes said conductive isolated segments and said non-conductive material.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)